

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,559	12/12/2003	Paul Ralph Van Rheenen	A01271A	4602
21898 POHM AND I	7590 02/23/2007 HAAS COMPANY		EXAM	INER
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT	NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S	OHIRAJLAL S	
100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TINEADEELT	1111, 111 15100 2555		1773	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	02/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			5	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/735,559	VAN RHEENEN,	VAN RHEENEN, PAUL RALPH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	D. S. Nakarani	1773		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 L 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims		•	,	
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 Cl		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)		

S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/11/2004.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-3 and 6-9, drawn to method of forming a capstock composite, classified in class 264, subclass 176.1⁺.
 - II. Claims 4-5, drawn to method of forming a capstock composition, classified in class 525, subclass 70⁺.
 - III. Claims 10-15, drawn to a multilayered polymeric composite, classified in class 428, subclass 500⁺.
- 2. The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and a materially different process, wherein the capstock and substrate are extruded separately and laminated together post-extrusion.
- 4. Inventions I and II are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as

Art Unit: 1773

claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have different scope and materially different function, wherein one process of invention II provides a capstock composition and another process of invention I provides a product. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 5. Inventions II and III are directed to an unrelated product and process. Product and process inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that the product cannot be used in, or made by, the process. See MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06. In the instant case, the extruded composite cannot be used in a process of making a composition.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Richard Clikeman on January 10, 2007 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of III, claims 10-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1773

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

8. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1773

10. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zajaczkowski et al (U.S. Patent 5,703,169).

Zajaczkowski et al disclose a pressure sensitive adhesive comprising soft polymer backbone and hard polymer graft. The polymer backbone is a same polymer as disclosed in the instant disclosure in the instant disclosure and the graft polymer is also same graft polymer disclosed in the instant disclosure. Therefore claimed glass transition temperatures of backbone polymer and of graft polymers are deemed to be there unless shown otherwise.

Zajaczkowski et al disclose number average molecular weight of graft polymer from 2,000 to 60,000 (Col. 3, lines 52-60). The weight average molecular weight of addition polymer is much higher than the number average molecular weight and also the molecular weight of backbone of polymer would be expected to be higher than the graft. Therefore Zajaczkowski et al's graft polymer deemed to have weight average molecular weight encompassing claimed weight average molecular weight.

Zajaczkowski et al disclose flexible backing layer forming materials such as polyethylene, polypropylene, polyvinyl chloride etc. (Col. 6,lines 1-9) for making articles comprising backing layer and pressure sensitive adhesive layer. Zajaczkowski et al fail to disclose claimed proportions of backbone soft polymer and graft hard polymer.

However given the teaching of Zajaczkowski et al, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention made would have found it obvious to adjust proportions of backbone soft polymer and graft hard polymer for desired for desired physical

Art Unit: 1773

properties. Increasing the amount of hard graft polymer will increase temperature resistance and hardness of the adhesive for high temperature applications.

No claims are allowed.

- 11. Receipt of Information Disclosure Statement filed March 11, 2004 is acknowledged. All U. S. Patent documents have been made of record. All other recited foreign patent documents and other art documents not received by U.S. Patent and Trademark Office have been crossed-out. If applicant is desirous to make crossed-out documents of record, those documents with PTOL 1449 should be provided to this Office for consideration.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. S. Nakarani whose telephone number is (571) 272-1512. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D. S. Nakarani Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

DSN February 19, 2007.